Renormalized topological field theory and the Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

Michael Borinsky, Nikhef

January 28, Radboud Universiteit

joint work with Karen Vogtmann
arXiv:1907.03543

Introduction I: Groups

Automorphisms of groups

- Take a group G

Automorphisms of groups

- Take a group G
- An automorphism of G, $\rho \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is a bijection

$$\rho : G \to G$$

such that $\rho(x \cdot y) = \rho(x) \cdot \rho(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$

Automorphisms of groups

- Take a group G
- An automorphism of G, $\rho \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is a bijection

$$\rho : G \to G$$

$$\text{such that } \rho(x \cdot y) = \rho(x) \cdot \rho(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in G$$

- Normal subgroup: $\mathrm{Inn}(G) \lhd \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, the inner automorphisms.

Automorphisms of groups

- Take a group G
- An automorphism of G, $\rho \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is a bijection

$$\rho : G \to G$$
$$\text{such that } \rho(x \cdot y) = \rho(x) \cdot \rho(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in G$$

- Normal subgroup: $\mathrm{Inn}(G) \lhd \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, the inner automorphisms.
- We have, $\rho_h \in \mathrm{Inn}(G)$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_h : & G \to G, \\ & g \mapsto h^{-1}gh \end{aligned}$$

for each $h \in G$.

Automorphisms of groups

- Take a group G
- An automorphism of G, $\rho \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is a bijection

$$\rho : G \to G$$
$$\text{such that } \rho(x \cdot y) = \rho(x) \cdot \rho(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in G$$

- Normal subgroup: $\mathrm{Inn}(G) \triangleleft \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, the inner automorphisms.
- We have, $\rho_h \in \mathrm{Inn}(G)$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_h : & G \to G, \\ & g \mapsto h^{-1}gh \end{aligned}$$

  for each $h \in G$.
- Outer automorphisms: $\mathrm{Out}(G) = \mathrm{Aut}(G)/\,\mathrm{Inn}(G)$

Automorphisms of the free group

- Consider the free group with n generators

$$F_n = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$$

E.g. $a_1 a_3^{-5} a_2 \in F_n$

Automorphisms of the free group

- Consider the free group with n generators

$$F_n = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$$

  E.g. $a_1 a_3^{-5} a_2 \in F_n$
- The group $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is our main object of interest.

Some properties of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

- Generated by

$$\begin{array}{lllll} & a_1 \mapsto a_1 a_2 & a_2 \mapsto a_2 & a_3 \mapsto a_3 & \dots \\ \text{and} & a_1 \mapsto a_1^{-1} & a_2 \mapsto a_2 & a_3 \mapsto a_3 & \dots \end{array}$$

and permutations of the letters.

Some properties of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

- Generated by

$$\begin{array}{lllll} & a_1 \mapsto a_1 a_2 & a_2 \mapsto a_2 & a_3 \mapsto a_3 & \dots \\ \text{and} & a_1 \mapsto a_1^{-1} & a_2 \mapsto a_2 & a_3 \mapsto a_3 & \dots \end{array}$$

  and permutations of the letters.

- The fundamental group of a graph is always a free group,

$$\mathrm{Out}(F_n) = \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(\Gamma))$$

  for a connected graph Γ with n independent cycles.

Mapping class group

- Another example of an outer automorphism group:
  the mapping class group

Mapping class group

- Another example of an outer automorphism group: the mapping class group
- The group of homeomorphisms of a closed, connected and orientable surface S_g of genus g up to isotopies

$$\mathrm{MCG}(S_g) := \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_g))$$

Example: Mapping class group of the torus

$$\mathsf{MCG}(\mathbb{T}^2) = \mathsf{Out}(\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2))$$

The group of homeomorphisms $\mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ up to an isotopy:

Introduction II: Spaces

How to study such groups?

How to study groups such as $\mathrm{MCG}(S)$ or $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$?

How to study such groups?

How to study groups such as $\mathrm{MCG}(S)$ or $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$?

Main idea

Realize G as symmetries of some geometric object.

`Due to Stallings, Thurston, Gromov, ... (1970-)`

For the mapping class group: Teichmüller space

Let S be a closed, connected and orientable surface.

For the mapping class group: Teichmüller space

Let S be a closed, connected and orientable surface.

$\Rightarrow$ A point in Teichmüller space $T(S)$ is a pair, (X, μ)

- A Riemann surface X.
- A *marking*: a homeomorphism $\mu : S \to X$.

For the mapping class group: Teichmüller space

Let S be a closed, connected and orientable surface.

$\Rightarrow$ A point in Teichmüller space $T(S)$ is a pair, (X, μ)

- A Riemann surface X.
- A *marking*: a homeomorphism $\mu : S \to X$.

MCG(S) acts on $T(S)$ by composing to the marking:

$$(X, \mu) \mapsto (X, \mu \circ g^{-1}) \text{ for some } g \in \mathrm{MCG}(S).$$

For $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$: Outer space

Idea: Mimic previous construction for $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$.

Culler, Vogtmann (1986)

For $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$: Outer space

Idea: Mimic previous construction for $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$.

Culler, Vogtmann (1986)

Let R_n be the rose with n petals.

For Out(F_n): Outer space

Idea: Mimic previous construction for Out(F_n).

Culler, Vogtmann (1986)

Let R_n be the rose with n petals.

$\Rightarrow$ A point in Outer space $\mathcal{O}_n$ is a pair, (G, μ)

- A connected graph G with a length assigned to each edge.
- A marking: a *homotopy* $\mu : R_n \to G$.

For $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$: Outer space

Idea: Mimic previous construction for $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$.

Culler, Vogtmann (1986)

Let R_n be the rose with n petals.

$\Rightarrow$ A point in Outer space $\mathcal{O}_n$ is a pair, (G, μ)

- A connected graph G with a length assigned to each edge.
- A marking: a *homotopy* $\mu : R_n \to G$.

$\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_n$ by composing to the marking:

$$(\Gamma, \mu) \mapsto (\Gamma, \mu \circ g^{-1}) \text{ for some } g \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n) = \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(R_n)).$$

$\mathcal{O}_2$

Put picture of Outer space here

Examples of applications of Outer space

- The group $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$
- Moduli spaces of punctured surfaces
- Tropical curves
- Invariants of symplectic manifolds
- Classical modular forms
- (Mathematical) physics

Examples of applications of Outer space

- The group $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$
- Moduli spaces of punctured surfaces
- Tropical curves
- Invariants of symplectic manifolds
- Classical modular forms
- (Mathematical) physics :

$$\text{Scalar QFT} \sim \text{Integrals over } \mathcal{O}_n \,/\, \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$$

Examples of applications of Outer space

- The group $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$
- Moduli spaces of punctured surfaces
- Tropical curves
- Invariants of symplectic manifolds
- Classical modular forms
- (Mathematical) physics :

$$\text{Scalar QFT} \sim \text{Integrals over } \mathcal{O}_n \,/\, \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$$

analogous to

$$\text{2D Quantum gravity} \sim \text{Integral over } T(S)/\,\mathrm{MCG}(S)$$

Moduli spaces

- The *quotient space* $\mathcal{G}_n := \mathcal{O}_n \,/\, \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is called the moduli space of graphs.

Moduli spaces

- The *quotient space* $\mathcal{G}_n := \mathcal{O}_n \,/\, \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is called the moduli space of graphs.
- Its cousin $\mathcal{M}_g = T(S_g)/\,\mathrm{MCG}(S_g)$ is the moduli space of curves.

Moduli spaces

- The *quotient space* $\mathcal{G}_n := \mathcal{O}_n \,/\, \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is called the moduli space of graphs.
- Its cousin $\mathcal{M}_g = T(S_g)/\,\mathrm{MCG}(S_g)$ is the moduli space of curves.
- Both can be used to study the respective groups.

Summary of the respective groups and spaces

	$\mathrm{MCG}(S_g)$	$\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$
acts freely and properly on	Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S_g)$	Outer space $\mathcal{O}_n$
Quotient X/G	Moduli space of curves $\mathcal{M}_g$	Moduli space of graphs $\mathcal{G}_n$

Invariants

Algebraic invariants

- $H_\bullet(\mathrm{Out}(F_n);\mathbb{Q}) \simeq H_\bullet(\mathcal{O}_n \,/\, \mathrm{Out}(F_n);\mathbb{Q}) = H_\bullet(\mathcal{G}_n;\mathbb{Q})$, as $\mathcal{O}_n$ is contractible Culler, Vogtmann (1986).

Algebraic invariants

- $H_\bullet(\mathrm{Out}(F_n);\mathbb{Q}) \simeq H_\bullet(\mathcal{O}_n \,/\, \mathrm{Out}(F_n);\mathbb{Q}) = H_\bullet(\mathcal{G}_n;\mathbb{Q})$, as $\mathcal{O}_n$ is contractible Culler, Vogtmann (1986).

$\Rightarrow$ Study $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ using $\mathcal{G}_n$!

Algebraic invariants

- $H_\bullet(\mathrm{Out}(F_n);\mathbb{Q}) \simeq H_\bullet(\mathcal{O}_n \,/\, \mathrm{Out}(F_n);\mathbb{Q}) = H_\bullet(\mathcal{G}_n;\mathbb{Q})$, as $\mathcal{O}_n$ is contractible Culler, Vogtmann (1986).

$\Rightarrow$ Study $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ using $\mathcal{G}_n$!

- One simple invariant: Euler characteristic

Further motivation to look at Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

Consider the abelization map $F_n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Further motivation to look at Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

Consider the abelization map $F_n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$.

$\Rightarrow$ Induces a group homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Out}(F_n) \to \mathrm{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$$

Further motivation to look at Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

Consider the abelization map $F_n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$.

$\Rightarrow$ Induces a group homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Out}(F_n) \to \underbrace{\mathrm{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}_{=\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})}$$

Further motivation to look at Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

Consider the abelization map $F_n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$.

$\Rightarrow$ Induces a group homomorphism

$$1 \to \mathcal{T}_n \to \mathrm{Out}(F_n) \to \underbrace{\mathrm{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}_{=\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})} \to 1$$

Further motivation to look at Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

Consider the abelization map $F_n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$.

$\Rightarrow$ Induces a group homomorphism

$$1 \to \mathcal{T}_n \to \mathrm{Out}(F_n) \to \underbrace{\mathrm{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}_{=\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})} \to 1$$

- $\mathcal{T}_n$ the 'non-abelian' part of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is interesting.

Further motivation to look at Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

Consider the abelization map $F_n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$.

$\Rightarrow$ Induces a group homomorphism

$$1 \to \mathcal{T}_n \to \mathrm{Out}(F_n) \to \underbrace{\mathrm{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}_{=\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})} \to 1$$

- $\mathcal{T}_n$ the 'non-abelian' part of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is interesting.
- By the short exact sequence above

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) = \chi(\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}))\,\chi(\mathcal{T}_n)$$

Further motivation to look at Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

Consider the abelization map $F_n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$.

$\Rightarrow$ Induces a group homomorphism

$$1 \to \mathcal{T}_n \to \mathrm{Out}(F_n) \to \underbrace{\mathrm{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}_{=\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})} \to 1$$

- $\mathcal{T}_n$ the 'non-abelian' part of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is interesting.
- By the short exact sequence above

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) = \underbrace{\chi(\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}))}_{=0}\chi(\mathcal{T}_n)$$

Further motivation to look at Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

Consider the abelization map $F_n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$.

$\Rightarrow$ Induces a group homomorphism

$$1 \to \mathcal{T}_n \to \mathrm{Out}(F_n) \to \underbrace{\mathrm{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}_{=\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})} \to 1$$

- $\mathcal{T}_n$ the 'non-abelian' part of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is interesting.
- By the short exact sequence above

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) = \underbrace{\chi(\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}))}_{=0}\chi(\mathcal{T}_n)$$

$\Rightarrow$ $\mathcal{T}_n$ does not have finitely-generated homology if $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0$.

Conjectures

Conjecture Smillie-Vogtmann (1987)

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$

$$\text{and } |\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))| \text{ grows exponentially for } n \to \infty.$$

based on initial computations by Smillie-Vogtmann (1987) up to $n \leq 11$. Later strengthened by Zagier (1989) up to $n \leq 100$.

Conjectures

Conjecture Smillie-Vogtmann (1987)

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$

and $|\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))|$ grows exponentially for $n \to \infty$.

based on initial computations by Smillie-Vogtmann (1987) up to $n \leq 11$. Later strengthened by Zagier (1989) up to $n \leq 100$.

Conjecture Magnus (1934)

$\mathcal{T}_n$ is not finitely presentable.

Conjectures

Conjecture Smillie-Vogtmann (1987)

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$

and $|\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))|$ grows exponentially for $n \to \infty$.

based on initial computations by Smillie-Vogtmann (1987) up to $n \leq 11$. Later strengthened by Zagier (1989) up to $n \leq 100$.

Conjecture Magnus (1934)

$\mathcal{T}_n$ is not finitely presentable.

In topological terms, i.e. $\dim(H_2(\mathcal{T}_n)) = \infty$,

which implies that $\mathcal{T}_n$ does not have finitely-generated homology.

Conjectures

Conjecture Smillie-Vogtmann (1987)

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$

$$\text{and } |\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))| \text{ grows exponentially for } n \to \infty.$$

based on initial computations by Smillie-Vogtmann (1987) up to $n \leq 11$. Later strengthened by Zagier (1989) up to $n \leq 100$.

Conjecture Magnus (1934)

$\mathcal{T}_n$ is not finitely presentable.

In topological terms, i.e. $\dim(H_2(\mathcal{T}_n)) = \infty$,

which implies that $\mathcal{T}_n$ does not have finitely-generated homology.

Theorem Bestvina, Bux, Margalit (2007)

$\mathcal{T}_n$ does not have finitely-generated homology.

Results: $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0$

Theorem A MB-Vogtmann (2019)

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) < 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$

Theorem A MB-Vogtmann (2019)

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) < 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \sim -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(n-3/2)}{\log^2 n} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Theorem A MB-Vogtmann (2019)

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) < 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$
$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \sim -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(n-3/2)}{\log^2 n} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

which settles the initial conjecture by Smillie-Vogtmann (1987). Immediate questions:

Theorem A MB-Vogtmann (2019)

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) < 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \sim -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(n-3/2)}{\log^2 n} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

which settles the initial conjecture by Smillie-Vogtmann (1987). Immediate questions:

$\Rightarrow$ Huge amount of unstable homology in odd dimensions.

Theorem A MB-Vogtmann (2019)

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) < 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$
$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \sim -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(n-3/2)}{\log^2 n} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

which settles the initial conjecture by Smillie-Vogtmann (1987). Immediate questions:

$\Rightarrow$ Huge amount of unstable homology in odd dimensions.

- Only one odd-dimensional class known Bartholdi (2016).

Theorem A MB-Vogtmann (2019)

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) < 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$

$$\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \sim -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(n-3/2)}{\log^2 n} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

which settles the initial conjecture by Smillie-Vogtmann (1987). Immediate questions:

$\Rightarrow$ Huge amount of unstable homology in odd dimensions.

- Only one odd-dimensional class known Bartholdi (2016).
- Where does all this homology come from?

This Theorem A follows from an implicit expression for $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$:

This Theorem A follows from an implicit expression for $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$:

Theorem B MB-Vogtmann (2019)

$$\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-N}N^N \sim \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k(-1)^k\Gamma(N+1/2-k) \text{ as } N\to\infty$$

$$\text{where } \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k z^k = \exp\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_{n+1}))z^n\right)$$

This Theorem A follows from an implicit expression for $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$:

Theorem B MB-Vogtmann (2019)

$$\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-N}N^N \sim \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k(-1)^k\Gamma(N+1/2-k) \text{ as } N\to\infty$$

$$\text{where } \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k z^k = \exp\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_{n+1}))z^n\right)$$

$\Rightarrow$ $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$ are the coefficients of an asymptotic expansion.

This Theorem A follows from an implicit expression for $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$:

Theorem B MB-Vogtmann (2019)

$$\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-N}N^N \sim \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k (-1)^k \Gamma(N+1/2-k) \text{ as } N \to \infty$$

$$\text{where } \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k z^k = \exp\left(\sum_{n\geq 0} \chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_{n+1})) z^n\right)$$

$\Rightarrow$ $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$ are the coefficients of an asymptotic expansion.

- An analytic argument is needed to prove Theorem A from Theorem B.

This Theorem A follows from an implicit expression for $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$:

Theorem B MB-Vogtmann (2019)

$$\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-N}N^N \sim \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k(-1)^k\Gamma(N+1/2-k) \text{ as } N\to\infty$$

$$\text{where } \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k z^k = \exp\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_{n+1}))z^n\right)$$

$\Rightarrow$ $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$ are the coefficients of an asymptotic expansion.

- An analytic argument is needed to prove Theorem A from Theorem B.
- In this talk: Focus on proof of Theorem B

Analogy to the mapping class group

Harer-Zagier formula for $\chi(\mathrm{MCG}(S_g))$

Similar result for the mapping class group/moduli space of curves:

Harer-Zagier formula for $\chi(\mathrm{MCG}(S_g))$

Similar result for the mapping class group/moduli space of curves:

Theorem Harer-Zagier (1986)

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_g) = \chi(\mathrm{MCG}(S_g)) = \frac{B_{2g}}{4g(g-1)} \qquad g \geq 2$$

Harer-Zagier formula for $\chi(\mathrm{MCG}(S_g))$

Similar result for the mapping class group/moduli space of curves:

Theorem Harer-Zagier (1986)

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_g) = \chi(\mathrm{MCG}(S_g)) = \frac{B_{2g}}{4g(g-1)} \qquad g \geq 2$$

- Original proof by Harer and Zagier in 1986.

Harer-Zagier formula for $\chi(\mathrm{MCG}(S_g))$

Similar result for the mapping class group/moduli space of curves:

Theorem Harer-Zagier (1986)

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_g) = \chi(\mathrm{MCG}(S_g)) = \frac{B_{2g}}{4g(g-1)} \qquad g \geq 2$$

- Original proof by Harer and Zagier in 1986.
- Alternative proof using topological field theory (TFT) by Penner (1988).

Harer-Zagier formula for $\chi(\mathsf{MCG}(S_g))$

Similar result for the mapping class group/moduli space of curves:

Theorem Harer-Zagier (1986)

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_g) = \chi(\mathsf{MCG}(S_g)) = \frac{B_{2g}}{4g(g-1)} \qquad g \geq 2$$

- Original proof by Harer and Zagier in 1986.
- Alternative proof using topological field theory (TFT) by Penner (1988).
- Simplified proof by Kontsevich (1992) based on TFT's.

Harer-Zagier formula for $\chi(\mathrm{MCG}(S_g))$

Similar result for the mapping class group/moduli space of curves:

Theorem Harer-Zagier (1986)

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_g) = \chi(\mathrm{MCG}(S_g)) = \frac{B_{2g}}{4g(g-1)} \qquad g \geq 2$$

- Original proof by Harer and Zagier in 1986.
- Alternative proof using topological field theory (TFT) by Penner (1988).
- Simplified proof by Kontsevich (1992) based on TFT's.

$\Rightarrow$ Kontsevich's proof served as a blueprint for $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$.

Sketch of Kontsevich's TFT proof of the Harer-Zagier formula

Step 1 of Kontsevich's proof

Generalize from $\mathcal{M}_g$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, the moduli space of surfaces of genus g and n punctures.

Step 1 of Kontsevich's proof

Generalize from $\mathcal{M}_g$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, the moduli space of surfaces of genus g and n punctures.

We can 'forget one puncture':

$$\mathsf{MCG}(S_{g,n+1}) \to \mathsf{MCG}(S_{g,n})$$

Step 1 of Kontsevich's proof

Generalize from $\mathcal{M}_g$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, the moduli space of surfaces of genus g and n punctures.

We can 'forget one puncture':

$$1 \to \pi_1(S_{g,n}) \to \mathsf{MCG}(S_{g,n+1}) \to \mathsf{MCG}(S_{g,n}) \to 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(\mathsf{MCG}(S_{g,n+1})) = \chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n+1}) = \chi(\pi_1(S_{g,n}))\,\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})$$

Step 1 of Kontsevich's proof

Generalize from $\mathcal{M}_g$ to $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, the moduli space of surfaces of genus g and n punctures.

We can 'forget one puncture':

$$1 \to \pi_1(S_{g,n}) \to \mathsf{MCG}(S_{g,n+1}) \to \mathsf{MCG}(S_{g,n}) \to 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(\mathsf{MCG}(S_{g,n+1})) = \chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n+1}) = \underbrace{\chi(\pi_1(S_{g,n}))}_{=2-2g-n} \chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})$$

Step 2 of Kontsevich's proof

- Use a combinatorial model for $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$

Step 2 of Kontsevich's proof

- Use a combinatorial model for $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$

$\Rightarrow$ Ribbon graphs Penner (1986)

Step 2 of Kontsevich's proof

- Use a combinatorial model for $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$

$\Rightarrow$ Ribbon graphs Penner (1986)

Every point in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ can be associated with a ribbon graph Γ such that

- Γ has n boundary components: $h_0(\partial\Gamma) = n$
- $\chi(\Gamma) = |V_\Gamma| - |E_\Gamma| = 2 - 2g - n$.

Step 2 of Kontsevich's proof

- Use a combinatorial model for $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$

$\Rightarrow$ Ribbon graphs Penner (1986)

Every point in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ can be associated with a ribbon graph Γ such that

- Γ has n boundary components: $h_0(\partial\Gamma) = n$
- $\chi(\Gamma) = |V_\Gamma| - |E_\Gamma| = 2 - 2g - n$.

$\Rightarrow$ Γ can be interpreted as a surface of genus g with n punctures.

Step 2 of Kontsevich's proof

- Use a combinatorial model for $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$

$\Rightarrow$ Ribbon graphs Penner (1986)

Every point in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ can be associated with a ribbon graph Γ such that

- Γ has n boundary components: $h_0(\partial\Gamma) = n$
- $\chi(\Gamma) = |V_\Gamma| - |E_\Gamma| = 2 - 2g - n$.

$\Rightarrow$ Γ can be interpreted as a surface of genus g with n punctures.

Step 3 of Kontsevich's proof

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}) = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(\sigma)}}{|\operatorname{Stab}(\sigma)|}$$

Step 3 of Kontsevich's proof

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}) = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(\sigma)}}{|\operatorname{Stab}(\sigma)|}$$

Step 3 of Kontsevich's proof

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}) = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(\sigma)}}{|\operatorname{Stab}(\sigma)|}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \\ h_0(\partial\Gamma)=n \\ \chi(\Gamma)=2-2g-n}} \frac{(-1)^{|V_\Gamma|}}{|\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma|}$$

Step 3 of Kontsevich's proof

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}) = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(\sigma)}}{|\operatorname{Stab}(\sigma)|}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \\ h_0(\partial\Gamma)=n \\ \chi(\Gamma)=2-2g-n}} \frac{(-1)^{|V_\Gamma|}}{|\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma|}$$

Used by Penner (1988) to calculate $\chi(\mathcal{M}_g)$ with Matrix models.

Step 4 of Kontsevich's proof

Kontsevich's simplification:

$$\sum_{g,n} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})}{n!} z^{2-2g-n}$$

Kontsevich's simplification:

$$\sum_{g,n} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})}{n!} z^{2-2g-n} = \sum_{g,n} \sum_{\substack{\text{ribbon graphs } \Gamma \\ h_0(\partial\Gamma)=n \\ \chi(\Gamma)=2-2g-n}} \frac{(-1)^{|V_\Gamma|}}{|\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma|} \frac{1}{n!} z^{\chi(\Gamma)}$$

Step 4 of Kontsevich's proof

Kontsevich's simplification:

$$\sum_{g,n} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})}{n!} z^{2-2g-n} = \sum_{g,n} \sum_{\substack{\text{ribbon graphs } \Gamma \\ h_0(\partial\Gamma)=n \\ \chi(\Gamma)=2-2g-n}} \frac{(-1)^{|V_\Gamma|}}{|\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma|} \frac{1}{n!} z^{\chi(\Gamma)}$$

$$= \sum_{\text{graphs G}} \frac{(-1)^{|V_G|}}{|\operatorname{Aut} G|} z^{\chi(G)}$$

Step 4 of Kontsevich's proof

Kontsevich's simplification:

$$\sum_{g,n} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})}{n!} z^{2-2g-n} = \sum_{g,n} \sum_{\substack{\text{ribbon graphs } \Gamma \\ h_0(\partial\Gamma)=n \\ \chi(\Gamma)=2-2g-n}} \frac{(-1)^{|V_\Gamma|}}{|\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma|} \frac{1}{n!} z^{\chi(\Gamma)}$$

$$= \sum_{\text{graphs } G} \frac{(-1)^{|V_G|}}{|\operatorname{Aut} G|} z^{\chi(G)}$$

This is the perturbative series of a simple TFT:

$$= \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{z(1+x-e^x)} dx \right)$$

Step 4 of Kontsevich's proof

Kontsevich's simplification:

$$\sum_{g,n} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})}{n!} z^{2-2g-n} = \sum_{g,n} \sum_{\substack{\text{ribbon graphs } \Gamma \\ h_0(\partial\Gamma)=n \\ \chi(\Gamma)=2-2g-n}} \frac{(-1)^{|V_\Gamma|}}{|\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma|} \frac{1}{n!} z^{\chi(\Gamma)}$$

$$= \sum_{\text{graphs G}} \frac{(-1)^{|V_G|}}{|\operatorname{Aut} G|} z^{\chi(G)}$$

This is the perturbative series of a simple TFT:

$$= \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{z(1+x-e^x)} dx \right)$$

Evaluation is classic (Stirling/Euler-Maclaurin formulas)

$$= \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\zeta(-k)}{-k} z^{-k}$$

Last step of Kontsevich's proof

$$\sum_{\substack{g,n \\ 2-2g-n=k}} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})}{n!} = \frac{B_{k+1}}{k(k+1)}$$

Last step of Kontsevich's proof

$$\sum_{\substack{g,n \\ 2-2g-n=k}} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})}{n!} = \frac{B_{k+1}}{k(k+1)}$$

$\Rightarrow$ recover Harer-Zagier formula using the identity

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n+1}) = (2-2g-n)\chi(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})$$

Analogous proof strategy for $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$ using renormalized TFTs

Step 1

Generalize from $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ to $A_{n,s}$ and from $\mathcal{O}_n$ to $\mathcal{O}_{n,s}$, Outer space of graphs of rank n and s legs.

`Contant, Kassabov, Vogtmann (2011)`

Step 1

Generalize from $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ to $A_{n,s}$ and from $\mathcal{O}_n$ to $\mathcal{O}_{n,s}$, Outer space of graphs of rank n and s legs.

Contant, Kassabov, Vogtmann (2011)

Forgetting a leg gives the short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to F_n \to A_{n,s} \to A_{n,s-1} \to 1$$

Step 2

- Use a combinatorial model for $\mathcal{G}_{n,s}$

Step 2

- Use a combinatorial model for $\mathcal{G}_{n,s}$

$\Rightarrow$ graphs with a forest Smillie-Vogtmann (1987):

Step 2

- Use a combinatorial model for $\mathcal{G}_{n,s}$

$\Rightarrow$ graphs with a forest Smillie-Vogtmann (1987):

A point in $\mathcal{G}_{n,s}$ can be associated with a pair of a graph G and a forest $f \subset G$.

Step 2

- Use a combinatorial model for $\mathcal{G}_{n,s}$

$\Rightarrow$ graphs with a forest Smillie-Vogtmann (1987):

A point in $\mathcal{G}_{n,s}$ can be associated with a pair of a graph G and a forest $f \subset G$.

$$(G, f)$$

Step 3

$$\chi(A_{n,s}) = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(\sigma)}}{|\operatorname{Stab}(\sigma)|}$$

Step 3

$$\chi(A_{n,s}) = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(\sigma)}}{|\operatorname{Stab}(\sigma)|}$$

Step 3

$$\chi(A_{n,s}) = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(\sigma)}}{|\operatorname{Stab}(\sigma)|}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\text{graphs } G \\ \text{with } s \text{ legs} \\ \operatorname{rank}(\pi_1(G))=n}} \ \sum_{\text{forests } f \subset G} \frac{(-1)^{|E_f|}}{|\operatorname{Aut} G|}$$

Step 4

Renormalized TFT interpretation MB-Vogtmann (2019):

$$\chi(A_{n,s}) = \sum_{\substack{\text{graphs } G \\ \text{with } s \text{ legs} \\ \text{rank}(\pi_1(G))=n}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut} G|} \sum_{\text{forests } f \subset G} (-1)^{|E_f|}$$

Step 4

Renormalized TFT interpretation MB-Vogtmann (2019):

$$\chi(A_{n,s}) = \sum_{\substack{\text{graphs } G \\ \text{with } s \text{ legs} \\ \text{rank}(\pi_1(G))=n}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut} G|} \underbrace{\sum_{\text{forests } f \subset G} (-1)^{|E_f|}}_{=:\tau(G)}$$

Step 4

Renormalized TFT interpretation MB-Vogtmann (2019):

$$\chi(A_{n,s}) = \sum_{\substack{\text{graphs } G \\ \text{with } s \text{ legs} \\ \text{rank}(\pi_1(G))=n}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut} G|} \underbrace{\sum_{\text{forests } f\subset G} (-1)^{|E_f|}}_{=:\tau(G)}$$

τ fulfills the identities $\tau(\emptyset) = 1$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{g\subset G \\ g \text{ bridgeless}}} \tau(g)(-1)^{|E_{G/g}|} = 0 \qquad \text{for all } G \neq \emptyset$$

Step 4

Renormalized TFT interpretation MB-Vogtmann (2019):

$$\chi(A_{n,s}) = \sum_{\substack{\text{graphs } G \\ \text{with } s \text{ legs} \\ \text{rank}(\pi_1(G))=n}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut} G|} \underbrace{\sum_{\text{forests } f \subset G} (-1)^{|E_f|}}_{=:\tau(G)}$$

τ fulfills the identities $\tau(\emptyset) = 1$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{g \subset G \\ g \text{ bridgeless}}} \tau(g)(-1)^{|E_{G/g}|} = 0 \qquad \text{for all } G \neq \emptyset$$

$\Rightarrow \tau$ is an inverse of a character in a Connes-Kreimer-type renormalization Hopf algebra. Connes-Kreimer (2001)

Step 4

Renormalized TFT interpretation MB-Vogtmann (2019):

$$\chi(A_{n,s}) = \sum_{\substack{\text{graphs } G \\ \text{with } s \text{ legs} \\ \text{rank}(\pi_1(G))=n}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut} G|} \underbrace{\sum_{\text{forests } f\subset G} (-1)^{|E_f|}}_{=:\tau(G)}$$

τ fulfills the identities $\tau(\emptyset) = 1$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{g\subset G \\ g \text{ bridgeless}}} \tau(g)(-1)^{|E_{G/g}|} = 0 \qquad \text{for all } G \neq \emptyset$$

$\Rightarrow \tau$ is an inverse of a character in a Connes-Kreimer-type renormalization Hopf algebra. Connes-Kreimer (2001)

The group invariants $\chi(A_{n,s})$ are encoded in a renormalized TFT.

TFT evaluation

Let $$T(z,x) = \sum_{n,s \geq 0} \chi(A_{n,s}) z^{1-n} \frac{x^s}{s!}$$

TFT evaluation

Let $$T(z,x) = \sum_{n,s \geq 0} \chi(A_{n,s}) z^{1-n} \frac{x^s}{s!}$$

then $$1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{T(z,x)} dx$$

TFT evaluation

Let $$T(z,x) = \sum_{n,s\geq 0} \chi(A_{n,s}) z^{1-n} \frac{x^s}{s!}$$

then $$1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{T(z,x)} dx$$

Using the short exact sequence, $1 \to F_n \to A_{n,s} \to A_{n,s-1} \to 1$ results in the action

$$1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{z(1+x-e^x)+\frac{x}{2}+T(-ze^x)} dx$$

where $T(z) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_{n+1})) z^{-n}$.

TFT evaluation

Let $$T(z,x) = \sum_{n,s\geq 0} \chi(A_{n,s}) z^{1-n} \frac{x^s}{s!}$$

then $$1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{T(z,x)} dx$$

Using the short exact sequence, $1 \to F_n \to A_{n,s} \to A_{n,s-1} \to 1$ results in the action

$$1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{z(1+x-e^x)+\frac{x}{2}+T(-ze^x)} dx$$

where $T(z) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_{n+1})) z^{-n}$.

This gives the implicit result in Theorem B.

Summery/Questions/Outlook

Short summary:

Summery/Questions/Outlook

Short summary:

- $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0$

Summery/Questions/Outlook

Short summary:

- $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0$

Open questions:

- The rapid growth of $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$ indicates that there is much unstable homology. What generates it?

Summery/Questions/Outlook

Short summary:

- $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0$

Open questions:

- The rapid growth of $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$ indicates that there is much unstable homology. What generates it?
- Missing analysis of the *naive* integral Euler characteristic: tbd

Summery/Questions/Outlook

Short summary:

- $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0$

Open questions:

- The rapid growth of $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$ indicates that there is much unstable homology. What generates it?
- Missing analysis of the *naive* integral Euler characteristic: tbd
- Can the TFT analysis be explained with a duality between $\mathrm{MCG}(S_g)$ and $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$? Obvious candidate: Koszul duality

Summery/Questions/Outlook

Short summary:

- $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n)) \neq 0$

Open questions:

- The rapid growth of $\chi(\mathrm{Out}(F_n))$ indicates that there is much unstable homology. What generates it?
- Missing analysis of the *naive* integral Euler characteristic: tbd
- Can the TFT analysis be explained with a duality between $\mathrm{MCG}(S_g)$ and $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$? Obvious candidate: Koszul duality
- Can renormalized TFT arguments also be used for other groups? For instance RAAGs.